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## Separable isogenies-why do we care?

A separable isogeny can be defined uniquely (up to isomorphism) by its kernel.
With a cyclic kernel, this means we require only one point (the generator of the kernel) to define an isogeny.

Isogeny-based cryptosystems such as CSIDH and CRS evaluate points at separable isogenies.

## Irrational kernels

We want to compute an isogeny of degree $\ell$, whose domain is $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Usually, we will look for a point of order $\ell$ to generate its kernel.

Suppose no point $P$ of order $\ell$ is defined over $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, so we go to $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right)$ to find it. We say $\langle P\rangle$ is irrational.

That is, the kernel of our isogeny will be defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, but its elements will not be.
Vélu requires the kernel points, so this will be costly to run over an extension field.

## Kernel polynomials

The kernel polynomial of an isogeny is used in Vélu's formulæ, given by

$$
D(X):=\prod_{P \in S}(X-x(P))
$$

where $S \subset\langle P\rangle$ is any subset such that

$$
S \cap-S=\emptyset \quad \text { and } \quad S \cup-S=\langle P\rangle \backslash\{0\}
$$

Note, $D$ will not always split over the base field, but its coefficients will always be defined over it.

## Evaluating the kernel polynomial

Take $\ell=13$ and $k=3$.
We want to choose a set $S \subset\langle P\rangle$, that contains all multiples of $P$ up to negation (excluding the identity) for use in our kernel polynomial.

Some classic examples are taking the first half or odd multiples.
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## Evaluating the kernel polynomial

For example, choose

$$
S=\{P,[2] P,[3] P, \ldots,[(\ell-1) / 2] P\}
$$

This method would use one xDBL to get [2] $P$, and $(\ell-1) / 2-2=(\ell-5) / 2$ xADD's.

## Evaluating the kernel polynomial

Another approach...
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Another approach...
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\begin{array}{llllllllllll}
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## Evaluating the kernel polynomial

Another approach...

$$
P \overbrace{2}^{\times 2} \overbrace{4 P}^{\times 2} \overbrace{8 P}^{\times 2} \overbrace{3 P}^{\times 2} \overbrace{6 P}^{\times 2} \underbrace{\times 2}_{12 P} R_{11 P}^{\times 2} \overbrace{9 P}^{\times 2} \overbrace{5 P}^{\times 2} \underbrace{\times 2}_{10} \overbrace{7 P}^{\times 2}
$$

## Evaluating the kernel polynomial

Another approach...


This uses $(\ell-3) / 2$ xDBL's.

## Evaluating the kernel polynomial

We say $n$ is a primitive root modulo $\ell$ if every a coprime to $\ell$ can be written as $a=n^{i}$, for some $i$.

We get the following lemma:

## Lemma

If 2 is a primitive root modulo $\ell$ then

$$
S=\left\{\left[2^{i}\right] P: 0 \leq i<(\ell-1) / 2\right\} .
$$

This Lemma can be extended to the case where 2 is semi-primitive (has order $\frac{\ell-1}{2}$ ) with added constraints on $\ell$.

How (semi) primitive is 2 ?
How often is 2 (semi)primitive modulo $\ell$ ? For prime $3 \leq \ell<10000$, roughly $57 \%$.

|  | Primes $2<\ell<600$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 3}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 7}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 7}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3 7 3}$ | 379 | 383 | 389 | 419 | 421 | 443 | 461 | 463 | 467 | 479 |  |  |
|  | 487 | 491 | 503 | 509 | 523 | 541 | 547 | 557 | 563 | $\mathbf{5 8 7}$ | 599 |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 1}$ |  |  |
| No | $\mathbf{2 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 3}$ | 397 | 401 | 409 | 431 | 433 |  |  |
|  | 439 | 449 | 457 | 499 | 521 | 569 | 571 | 577 | 593 |  |  |  |  |

Table: Primes in bold appear in the CSIDH-512 parameter set.

## Evaluating the kernel polynomial

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Scalar multiplication: } \quad 1 \mathrm{xDBL} \quad+\frac{\ell-5}{2} \mathrm{xADD} \\
& \text { Doubling: } \quad \frac{\ell-3}{2} \mathrm{xDBL}
\end{aligned}
$$

| Model | xADD | xDBL |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Montgomery | $4 M+2 S$ | $2 M+2 S+1 c$ |
| Short Weierstrass (projective) | $11 M+5 S$ | $1 M+8 S+1 c$ |

Table: Costs of xADD and xDBL. Here $M$ and $S$ represent multiplication and squaring, respectively, in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$, while $c$ represents multiplication by a curve constant in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$

When $k=1$, we get $M \approx c$, so we get a saving of around $16 \%$.

## Exploiting the action of Frobenius

$P$ is a point of order $\ell$ defined over $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right)$ (and not over any proper subfield containing $\left.\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.
$\langle P\rangle$ is Galois stable, so Frobenius acts as an eigenvalue, $\lambda$, on $\langle P\rangle$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P \mapsto \pi(P) \mapsto \pi^{2}(P) \mapsto \cdots \quad \pi^{k-1}(P) \\
& P \mapsto \lambda P \quad \mapsto \quad \lambda^{2} P \quad \mapsto \quad \cdots \quad \lambda^{k-1} P
\end{aligned}
$$

This $\lambda$ must be nonzero, and in fact it must be an element of order $k$ in $(\mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$.

## Exploiting the action of Frobenius

Take $\ell=13$ and $k=3$.
$\pi$ must act as either [3] or [9] on $\langle P\rangle$ : let's suppose it is [3]...
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## Exploiting the action of Frobenius

So we can write

$$
S=S_{0} \sqcup \pi\left(S_{0}\right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \pi^{k^{\prime}-1}\left(S_{0}\right)
$$

where $S_{0}$ is the set of points that generate the galois orbits (up to negation) and

$$
k^{\prime}:= \begin{cases}k & \text { if } k \text { is odd } \\ k / 2 & \text { if } k \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

## Lemma

If 2 is primitive modulo $\ell$ (or some other conditions) then $S_{0}=\left\{\left[2^{i}\right] P: 0 \leq i<(\ell-1) / 2 k^{\prime}\right\}$.
From before, let $\ell=13, k=3$.
This gives us $S_{0}=\left\{\left[2^{i}\right] P: 0 \leq i<12 / 6\right\}=\{P, 2 P\}$.

## Evaluating the kernel polynomial with $S_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(X)=\prod_{P \in S}(X-x(P)) & =\prod_{P \in S_{0}} \prod_{i=0}^{k^{\prime}-1}\left(X-x\left(\pi^{i}(P)\right)\right) \\
& =\prod_{P \in S_{0}} \prod_{i=0}^{k^{\prime}-1}\left(X-x(P)^{q^{i}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Transposing the order of the products, if we let

$$
D_{0}(X):=\prod_{P \in S_{0}}(X-x(P))
$$

then

$$
D(\alpha)=\left(D_{0}(\alpha)\right)^{1+2+\cdots\left(k^{\prime}-1\right)} \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}
$$

## Some preliminary results

We use an algorithm due to Costello and Hisil (2017) as a base for comparison.
They derive the following affine formula

$$
f(x)=x \cdot\left(\prod_{i=1}^{(\ell-1) / 2}\left(\frac{x \cdot x_{[i] P}-1}{x-x_{[i] P}}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

which results in this projective formula

$$
X^{\prime}=X \cdot\left(\prod_{i=1}^{(\ell-1) / 2}\left(X \cdot X_{i}-Z_{i} \cdot Z\right)\right)^{2}, Z^{\prime}=Z \cdot\left(\prod_{i=1}^{(\ell-1) / 2}\left(X \cdot Z_{i}-X_{i} \cdot Z\right)\right)^{2}
$$

This work was later generalized to the even case by Renes (2018).

## Some preliminary results

We compare the algorithms using operation counts over the extension field.
Some examples when 2 is primitive...

| $\ell$ | k |  | multiplies | adds | squarings |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 3 | Costello-Hisil | 20 | 17 | 7 |
|  |  | Galois orbits | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 29 | 7 | Costello-Hisil | 108 | 105 | 29 |
|  |  | Galois orbits | 8 | 12 | 48 |
| 53 | 13 | Costello-Hisil | 204 | 201 | 53 |
|  |  | Galois orbits | 8 | 18 | 107 |

## Computing the kernel generator

We want to find a point $P \in E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right)$ of order $\ell$.
One approach would be:

1. sample a random $P \in E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right)$
2. compute $P_{\ell}=\left[\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right) / \ell\right] P$
3. compute $\operatorname{Order}\left(P_{\ell}\right)$, which is either 0 or $\ell$

## Computing the kernel generator

Take $k=3$.

$$
E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}\right) \cong E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \oplus H_{3}
$$

Note, $\pi^{3}$ fixes all points in $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}\right)$, and $\pi$ fixes all the points in $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.
That is, $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{i}}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi^{i}-[1]\right)$.
So we proceed by:

1. sample $P \in E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right)$
2. compute $P_{H}=(\pi-1) P$
3. compute $P_{\ell}=\left[\# H_{3} / \ell\right] P_{H}$
4. check the order of $P_{\ell}$

This saves us around $1 / 3$ of the multiplications.

## Computing the kernel generator

Take $k=6$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \subset{ }_{E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}\right)} C^{E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right)} \subset \mathbb{F}_{\left.q^{6}\right)} \\
& E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi^{2}-[1]\right) \\
& E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi^{3}-[1]\right) \\
& \delta_{6}:=(\pi+[1])\left(\pi^{3}-[1]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As before, we do the following:

1. sample $P \in E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right)$
2. compute $P_{H}=\delta_{6} P$
3. compute $P_{\ell}=\left[\# H_{6} / \ell\right] P_{H}$
4. check the order of $P_{\ell}$

This saves around $2 / 3$ of the multiplications.

## Computing the kernel generator

Formalizing...

$$
\eta_{k}:=\Phi_{k}(\pi) \in \operatorname{End}(E)
$$

where $\Phi_{k}(X)$ is the $k$-th cyclotomic polynomial (that is, the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}$ of the primitive $k$-th roots of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ).

So we define

$$
\delta_{k}:=\left(\pi^{k}-[1]\right) / \eta_{k} \in \operatorname{End}(E)
$$

and get that $\delta_{k}\left(E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right)\right) \subset H_{k}$.

## Computing the kernel generator

## Lemma

If $k$ is even, then every point $P$ in $H_{k}$ has $x(P)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k / 2}}$.

In the case $k=2$, this is known as the "twist trick".

## Computing the kernel generator

| $k$ | $\# H_{k}$ | $\delta_{k}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 |  |
| 2 | $q+O(\sqrt{q})$ | $\pi-[1]$ |
| 3 | $q^{2}+O\left(q^{3 / 2}\right)$ | $\pi-[1]$ |
| 4 | $q^{2}+O(q)$ | $\pi^{2}-[1]$ |
| 5 | $q^{4}+O\left(q^{7 / 2}\right)$ | $\pi-[1]$ |
| 6 | $q^{2}+O\left(q^{3 / 2}\right)$ | $(\pi+[1])\left(\pi^{3}-[1]\right)$ |
| 7 | $q^{6}+O\left(q^{7 / 2}\right)$ | $\pi-[1]$ |
| 8 | $q^{4}+O\left(q^{2}\right)$ | $\pi^{4}-[1]$ |
| 9 | $q^{6}+O\left(q^{4 / 2}\right)$ | $\pi^{3}-[1]$ |
| 10 | $q^{4}+O\left(q^{7 / 2}\right)$ | $(\pi+[1])\left(\pi^{5}-[1]\right)$ |
| 11 | $q^{10}+O\left(q^{19 / 2}\right)$ | $\pi-[1]$ |
| 12 | $q^{4}+O\left(q^{3}\right)$ | $\left(\pi^{2}+[1]\right)\left(\pi^{6}-[1]\right)$ |

In summary we...

Evaluated kernel polynomials

- doubling trick (and its cost)
- exploiting the action of frobenius

Computed the kernel generator
eprint coming soon...

